HONORABLE JOHN H. CHUN

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

v.

1314

15

10

1617

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

STARBUCKS CORPORATION, a Washington corporation,

Plaintiff,

DOES 1-10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:22-cv-01481-JHC

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF STARBUCKS CORPORATION'S EX PARTE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL

THIS MATTER came before the Court upon Plaintiff Starbucks Corporation's *Ex Parte* Motion to File Under Seal, which requested this Court to permanently seal discrete portions of the Declaration of Stacia N. Lay in Support of Plaintiff's *Ex Parte* Motion for Leave to Seek Additional Limited Discovery, namely, Exhibits 1, 2, and 4 attached thereto. Having reviewed the relevant record, the Court GRANTS Plaintiff's Motion.

When considering whether to seal portions of the court record, "a strong presumption in favor of access is the starting point." *Kamakana v. City & Cty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks omitted). In determining whether there are compelling reasons to seal a court record, "courts should consider all relevant factors, including: the public interest in understanding the judicial process and whether disclosure of the material could result in improper use of the material for scandalous or libelous purposes or infringement upon trade secrets." *Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1135 (9th Cir. 2003).

focal PLLC

## Case 2:22-cv-01481-JHC Document 31 Filed 05/10/23 Page 2 of 3

Compelling reasons may exist to seal "trade secrets, marketing strategies, product development
plans, detailed product-specific financial information, customer information, internal reports and
other such materials that could harm a party's competitive standing;" but "courts should exercise
caution not [to] allow these exceptions [to] swallow the strong presumption in favor of
disclosure." In re Apple Inc. Device Performance Litig., No. 5:18-md-02827-EJD, 2019 U.S.
Dist. LEXIS 68121, *19 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 22, 2019).
The portions of the Lay Declaration that Plaintiff seeks to seal – Exhibits 1, 2, and
4 – reveal confidential documents produced through discovery by non-parties to this litigation.
Those documents, designated expressly or implicitly as confidential by the producing third
parties, contain subscriber account and financial account information. As such, there are
sufficiently compelling reasons to keep those materials under seal. Plaintiff has publicly filed the
declaration to which the exhibits are attached and the motion which the declaration supports;
those public filings are sufficient to meet the public's interest in knowing non-confidential
details of this proceeding.
IT IS SO ORDERED.
DATED this 10th day of May, 2023.
John M. Chan
HONORABLE JOHN H. CHUN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
CIVILD STATES DISTACT VODGE
Presented by:
FOCAL PLLC
By: s/Stacia N. Lay
s/ Venkat Balasubramani s/ Jennifer Davis
Stacia Ň. Lay, WSBA #30594
Venkat Balasubramani, WSBA #28269

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL (Case No. 2:22-cv-01481-JHC) – 2

focal PLLC

900 1st Ave. S., Suite 201 Seattle, Washington 98134 telephone (206) 529-4827 fax (206) 260-3966

## Case 2:22-cv-01481-JHC Document 31 Filed 05/10/23 Page 3 of 3

1	Jennifer Davis, WSBA #28316 900 1st Avenue S., Suite 201
2	Seattle, Washington 98134 Tel: (206) 529-4827
3	Fax: (206) 260-3966 Email: stacia@focallaw.com
4	Email: venkat@focallaw.com Email: jen@focallaw.com
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff Starbucks Corporation
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

focal PLLC

900 1st Ave. S., Suite 201 Seattle, Washington 98134 telephone (206) 529-4827 fax (206) 260-3966